2. The cash payment will be equal to leave salary so admissible for earned leave and dearness allowance admissible on that leave salary at the rates in force on the date of retirement and no compensatory allowance, house tent allowance and kit maintenance allowance shall be payable.

It is certified that Shri Sagar Chand, DSP on deputation to H. S. E. B., Chandigarh did not avail of any portion of LPR of 180 days before the dat, of superannuation.

M. S. BHATNAGAR, Joint Secretary.

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT ORDERS The 4th February, 1983

No. 1D/YMN/191/82/4420.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jai Singh and the management of M/s Anil Udyog, Chhachhrau): (Jagadhri), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5314-3Lab-68-15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jai Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is be entitled?

No. ID/YMN/193/82/4426.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Churu and the management of M/s Anil Udyog, Chhachhraula (Jagadhri), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conterred by clause (c) of sub-section(i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No.11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5314-3Lab-68-15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shn Churu was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/190/82/4432.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Pala Ram and the management of M/s Anil Udyog, Chhachhrauli (Jagadhri), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1968 read with notification No. 5314-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Pala Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI, Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department.

LATE NOTIFICATIONS